

ON THE STABLE RANK OF ALGEBRAS OF OPERATOR FIELDS OVER AN N-CUBE

PING WONG NG AND TAKAHIRO SUDO

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $[0, 1]^k$ and fibre algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]^k}$. We show that the stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ is bounded above by the quantity $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]^k} sr(C([0, 1]^k) \otimes \mathcal{A}_t)$. Here the symbol “sr” means stable rank. Using the above estimate, we compute the stable ranks of the C^* -algebras of the (possibly higher rank) discrete Heisenberg groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rieffel [12] introduced the notion of *stable rank* for C^* -algebras as the noncommutative version of complex dimension of ordinary topological spaces. It turns out that the stable rank of a unital C^* -algebra is the same as its Bass stable rank (see [7]). The purpose of this paper is to study stable rank for continuous field C^* -algebras. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $[0, 1]^k$ and fibre algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]^k}$. Then the stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$sr(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]^k} sr(C([0, 1]^k) \otimes \mathcal{A}_t).$$

One of the key ingredients of the proof of the above result is Nistor’s notion of *absolute connected stable rank* (see [10]). Recall that for a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the absolute connected stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ is numerically the same as the stable rank of the tensor product $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}$.

As an application of our theorem, we will compute the stable ranks of the universal C^* -algebras of the (possibly higher rank) discrete Heisenberg groups. Recall that for a positive integer n , the discrete Heisenberg group of rank $2n + 1$ is the group of all $2n + 1$ by $2n + 1$ upper triangular matrices with integer entries, with ones on the diagonal, and zero entries on all but the first row, last column and the diagonal. The rank $2n + 1$ discrete Heisenberg group is naturally a lattice subgroup of the $2n + 1$ -dimensional Heisenberg Lie group.

The stable ranks of the universal C^* -algebras of various type I Lie groups have been extensively studied (see [13], [15], [16]). In particular, we point out that for a simply connected nilpotent Lie group G , the stable rank of the universal C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G has been computed by Sudo and Takai (see [15]). Roughly speaking, they showed that the stable rank of $C^*(G)$ is controlled by the ordinary topological dimension of the space of one-dimensional representations of G .

Recently, the stable ranks of a class of non-type I solvable Lie groups (which include the Mautner group) have also been computed (see [14]).

Our computations for the discrete Heisenberg groups constitute a class of interesting nontrivial examples of the stable rank of the universal C^* -algebra of a non-type I discrete group.

In a later paper, we will apply the techniques developed in this paper to compute the stable ranks of arbitrary finitely generated, torsion-free two-step nilpotent groups.

A general reference for stable rank of C^* -algebras is [12]. General references for algebras of operator fields are [5], [8] and [17].

In what follows, for a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the notation “ $sr(\mathcal{A})$ ” will always mean the stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$. If, in addition, $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and N is a positive integer, then $Lg_N(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of N -tuples $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ in $\mathcal{A}^N$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N (a_i)^* a_i$ is an invertible element in $\mathcal{A}$.

Date: February 1, 2008.

Mathematics subject classification: 47L99.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $[0, 1]$ and fibre algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{F}}$. Then the stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$sr(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} sr(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t).$$

Proof. In this proof, we will always let “ $\mathcal{F}$ ” denote the continuity structure for the continuous field decomposition of $\mathcal{A}$ in the hypothesis.

Suppose that $M = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} sr(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t)$ is a finite number. Let an M -tuple $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_M) \in \mathcal{A}^M$ and a positive real number $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $[0, 1]$ is compact, let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N$ be a finite set of open intervals which cover $[0, 1]$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, let $(f_{i,1}, f_{i,2}, \dots, f_{i,M})$ be an M -tuple in $\mathcal{A}^M$ such that

1. $\sum_{j=1}^M f_{i,j}(t)^* f_{i,j}(t)$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{A}_t$ for $t \in I_i$. Here the operator field $t \mapsto f_{i,j}(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, is the representation of $f_{i,j}$ as a continuous operator field in $\mathcal{A}$. And
2. $\|f_{i,j} - a_j\| < \epsilon$, for all i, j .

We can choose such intervals I_i and such elements $f_{i,j}$, since our hypothesis implies that the stable rank of each fibre $\mathcal{A}_t$ is less than or equal to M , and by the existence and continuity of operator fields in a full algebra of operator fields (see the definition of full algebra of operator fields in [5], [8] or [17]). Also, one needs to use the fact that any element of a unital C^* -algebra that is sufficiently close to the unit is invertible. Finally, to make $f_{i,j}$ uniformly within ϵ of a_j (for all i, j), one needs the maximality of the algebra of operator fields $\mathcal{A}$ (see [8] Proposition 1 and [17] Theorem 1.1).

For simplicity, we will assume that there are only two intervals (I_1 and I_2) and only two M -tuples $(f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, \dots, f_{1,M})$ and $(f_{2,1}, f_{2,2}, \dots, f_{2,M})$. We may additionally assume that neither interval is contained in the closure of the other, and that their intersection is a continuum. Our goal is to “connect” the two M -tuples over the intersection $\overline{I_1} \cap \overline{I_2}$ to get an element of $Lg_M(\mathcal{A})$ which approximates $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_M)$ within ϵ . The proof for more than two intervals is an iteration of this procedure (after appropriate contraction, removal or addition of intervals...).

Our procedure for “connecting” the two M -tuples over $\overline{I_1} \cap \overline{I_2}$ will involve constructing a sequence of strictly increasing points $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $I_1 \cap I_2$, and constructing sequences of operator fields $\{\alpha_j^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, M$) satisfying:

1. α_j^n is a continuous operator field in $\mathcal{A}(n)$, for all j, n . Here $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is the unital maximal full algebra of operator fields gotten by restricting $\mathcal{A}$ to the interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$. (In particular, the continuity structure for $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is gotten by taking the restriction to $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ of all the fields in $\mathcal{F}$).
2. α_j^n is within ϵ of the restriction of a_j to $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$, for all j, n .
3. $\alpha_j^1(t_1) = f_{1,j}(t_1)$ and $\alpha_j^{n+1}(t_{n+1}) = \alpha_j^n(t_{n+1})$, for all j, n .
4. α_j^{n+1} is within $\epsilon/2^n$ of the restriction of $f_{2,j}$ to $[t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}]$, for all j, n . And
5. $(\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n, \dots, \alpha_M^n)$ is in $Lg_M(\mathcal{A}(n))$; or equivalently, $\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^n(t)^* \alpha_j^n(t)$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{A}_t$ for all $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, for all n (the proof of equivalence is a small spectral theory argument which uses the fact that $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ is compact).

Henceforth, we will let “(*)” denote conditions (1) - (5).

By [10] Lemma 2.4 and our hypothesis for M , we have that $Lg_M(\mathcal{A}_t) \cap \{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_M) \in \mathcal{A}_t^M : \|a_i(t) - b_i\| < \epsilon \forall i\}$ is a nonempty connected open set for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence, fixing $t_1 \in I_1 \cap I_2$, there are continuous paths $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow Lg_M(\mathcal{A}_{t_1})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, such that a) $\gamma_j(0) = f_{1,j}(t_1)$ and $\gamma_j(1) = f_{2,j}(t_1)$ for all j , and b) $\gamma_j(s)$ is within ϵ of $a_j(t_1)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and for all j .

Note that since $[0, 1]$ is compact, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_M)$ is in $Lg_M(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_{t_1})$. Now consider the unital maximal full algebra of operator fields $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, which has base space $[0, 1]$ and fibre algebras $\{C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$. The continuity structure $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of all operator fields of the form $t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N f_i \otimes c_i(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Here the f_i s are in $C[0, 1]$, the c_i s are continuous operator fields in $\mathcal{A}$ (with respect to the continuity structure $\mathcal{F}$), and N is a nonnegative integer. Now since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a full algebra of operator fields, there are continuous operator fields $t \mapsto \gamma_j(\cdot, t)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\gamma_j(\cdot, t_1) = \gamma_j(\cdot)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. (Here, the second variable of $\gamma_j(\cdot, \cdot)$ ranges over the base space of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and for $t \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma_j(\cdot, t)$ is an element of the fibre algebra $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$).

One can show that the operator field $t \mapsto \gamma_j(1, t)$ is a continuous operator field in $\mathcal{A}$ (with continuity structure $\mathcal{F}$) for $j = 1, \dots, M$. Also, one may view the field $t \mapsto a_j(t)$ as an operator field in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in the natural

way for $j = 1, \dots, M$. From these and the fact that (as elements of $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_{t_1}$) $\gamma_j(\cdot, t_1)$ is within ϵ of $a_j(t_1)$, we can find an open neighbourhood V of t_1 with $V \subset I_1 \cap I_2$ such that for all $t \in V$, a) as elements of $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t$, $\gamma_j(\cdot, t)$ is within ϵ of $a_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, and b) $\gamma_j(1, t)$ is within $\epsilon/2$ of $f_{2,j}(t)$. Furthermore, by continuity and since elements in a unital C^* -algebra which are sufficiently close to the unit are invertible, we may assume that V is sufficiently small so that for all $t \in V$, $\sum_{j=1}^M \gamma_j(\cdot, t)^* \gamma_j(\cdot, t)$ is an invertible element of $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t$.

One can show that for any continuous function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, the operator field $t \mapsto \gamma_j(g(t), t)$ is a continuous field in $\mathcal{A}$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Hence, we can pick $t_2 \in V$ such that $t_1 < t_2$; and we can let $\alpha_j^1(t) =_{df} \gamma_j((t - t_1)/(t_2 - t_1))$ for all $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. This will give us the first members of the sequences in (*).

Now we can repeat almost exactly the same argument as before, replacing t_1 by the point t_2 and replacing $f_{1,j}(t_1)$ by $\alpha_j^1(t_2)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. In this manner, we get a point t_3 and operator fields α_j^2 , $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, which will be the next members of the sequences in (*). We need only note two minor modifications that are needed in the argument: a) firstly, one has to use the fact that for all t , the set

$$Lg_M(\mathcal{A}_t) \cap \{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_M) \in \mathcal{A}_t^M : \|a_j(t) - b_j\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \|f_{2,j}(t) - b_j\| < \epsilon/2, \text{ for all } j\}$$

is a connected open set (see [10] Lemma 2.4), and b) when choosing the corresponding neighbourhood about t_2 , one must make it sufficiently small so that the corresponding quantities which result will also be sufficiently small in order to fulfill condition (4) in (*).

Repeating this process ad infinitum (making the appropriate modifications at each step), we get a sequence of points $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and sequences of continuous operator fields $\{\alpha_j^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, which fulfill the conditions in (*). Now let $\hat{t} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, let α_j be the continuous operator field in $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

1. $\alpha_j(t) = f_{1,j}(t)$ for $t \in [0, t_1]$,
2. $\alpha_j(t) = \alpha_j^n(t)$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, and
3. $\alpha_j(t) = f_{2,j}(t)$ for all $t \in [\hat{t}, 1]$.

Then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_M) \in Lg_M(\mathcal{A})$, and for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, α_j approximates a_j within ϵ . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We proceed by induction. The base case $k = 1$ has already been dealt with in Lemma 2.1. We now do the induction step, supposing that $k \geq 2$. By [8] Theorem 4, let $\pi : \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]^k$ be the continuous open surjection corresponding to the continuous field decomposition of $\mathcal{A}$ in the hypothesis (Here $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{A})$ is the primitive ideal space of $\mathcal{A}$).

Since $k \geq 2$, the map $p : [0, 1]^k \rightarrow [0, 1]^{k-1}$, given by projecting onto the first $k - 1$ coordinates, is a continuous open surjection. Hence, the composition $p \circ \pi : \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]^{k-1}$ is a continuous open surjection. Hence by [8] Theorem 4, we can realize $\mathcal{A}$ as a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $[0, 1]^{k-1}$ and fibre algebras, say, $\{\mathcal{B}_s\}_{s \in [0, 1]^{k-1}}$. Hence by the induction hypothesis, $sr(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{s \in [0, 1]^{k-1}} sr(C([0, 1]^{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_s)$.

But for each s , the fibre algebra $\mathcal{B}_s$ can be realized as a maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $p^{-1}(s) = \{s\} \times [0, 1]$ and fibre algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_r\}_{r \in \{s\} \times [0, 1]}$ (By [8] Theorem 4, $\mathcal{B}_s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}/I$ where $I = \bigcap (p \circ \pi)^{-1}(s)$). Using this fact, one can construct the natural continuous open surjection of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{B}_s)$ onto $\{s\} \times [0, 1]$. Let us suppose that this continuous field decomposition of $\mathcal{B}_s$ is given by a continuity structure $\mathcal{G}$. Then $C([0, 1]^{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_s$ can be realized as a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $[0, 1]$ and fibre algebras $\{C([0, 1]^{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_r\}_{r \in \{s\} \times [0, 1]}$. Here the continuity structure consists of operator fields of the form $r \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N f_i \otimes b_i(r)$, for $r \in \{s\} \times [0, 1]$. Here the f_i s are in $C([0, 1]^{k-1})$, the b_i s are continuous fields in $\mathcal{B}_s$ (with respect to the continuity structure $\mathcal{G}$) and N is a nonnegative integer.

Hence by the induction hypothesis, for each s , we have that $sr(C([0, 1]^{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}_s) \leq \sup_{r \in \{s\} \times [0, 1]} sr(C([0, 1]^{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_r)$. It follows, then, that $sr(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]^k} sr(C([0, 1]^k) \otimes \mathcal{A}_t)$. $\square$

We note that the statements of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 would still be true if the unit interval $[0, 1]$ was replaced by the circle S^1 (and if the k -cube $[0, 1]^k$ was replaced by the k -torus $\mathbb{T}^k$). The proofs would be exactly the same. In other words, we have that

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space the k -torus $\mathbb{T}^k$ and fibre algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}^k}$. Then the stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$sr(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}^k} sr(C([0, 1]^k) \otimes \mathcal{A}_t).$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the discrete Heisenberg group of rank $2n + 1$. Let $C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the universal C^* -algebra of $H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $sr(C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})) = n + 1$.*

Proof. By [12] Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 4.3, and the fact that $C(\mathbb{T}^{2n})$ is a quotient of $C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, the stable rank of $C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is greater than or equal to $n + 1$. By [1] and [9] Theorem 3.4, $C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ can be realized as a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space the 1-torus $\mathbb{T}$ and fibre algebras, say, $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$. Hence, by the Corollary 2.2, the stable rank of $C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ satisfies $sr(C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} sr(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t)$.

Now by [1] and [9] Theorem 3.4, each fibre algebra $\mathcal{A}_t$ can be realized as a unital maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space a torus with dimension less than or equal to $2n$ (the zero-dimensional torus being a point) and fibre algebras, say, $\{\mathcal{B}_s^t\}_{s \in \mathbb{T}^{l_t}}$ where $\mathbb{T}^{l_t}$ is the base in the continuous field decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_t$ ($l_t \leq 2n$). Moreover, for each $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and for each $s \in \mathbb{T}^{l_t}$, $\mathcal{B}_s^t$ is isomorphic to either a full matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ or $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes (\bigotimes^n A_\theta)$, where $\bigotimes^n A_\theta$ is the n times tensor product of a fixed irrational rotation algebra A_θ with irrational rotation θ . Now by [4] each irrational rotation algebra can be decomposed as an inductive limit of building blocks of the form $M_m(C(\mathbb{T})) \oplus M_n(C(\mathbb{T}))$ (the integers m and n get arbitrarily large as we move along building blocks in the inductive limit). Hence, since $C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t$ can be realized as a maximal full algebra of operator fields with base space $\mathbb{T}^{l_t}$ and fibre algebras $\{C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{B}_s^t\}_{s \in \mathbb{T}^{l_t}}$, it follows, by Corollary 2.2, [12] Proposition 1.7 and Theorems 5.1 and 6.1, that $sr(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}_t) \leq n + 1$, for all t . Hence, $sr(C^*(H_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}})) = n + 1$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- 1 J. ANDERSON AND W. PASCHKE, ‘The rotation algebra’, *Houston J. Math.*, 15, (1989), 1 - 26.
- 2 L. BAGGETT AND J. PACKER, ‘The primitive ideal space of two-step nilpotent group C^* -algebras’, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 124, (1994), 389-426.
- 3 K. R. DAVIDSON, *C^* -algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, 6.
- 4 G. A. ELLIOTT AND D. E. EVANS, ‘The structure of the irrational rotation C^* -algebra’, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 138, no. 3, (1993), 477-501.
- 5 J. M. G. FELL, ‘The structure of algebras of operator fields’, *Acta Math.*, 106, (1961), 233-280.
- 6 N. E. HASSAN, ‘Rangs Stables de certaines extensions’, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 52, (1995), 605-624.
- 7 R. HERMAN, L. N. VASERSTEIN, ‘The stable rank of C^* -algebras’, *Invent. Math.*, 77, (1984), 553-555.
- 8 R-Y LEE, ‘On C^* -algebras of operator fields’, *Indiana U. Math. Journal*, 25, no. 4, (1976), 303-314.
- 9 S. T. LEE AND J. PACKER, ‘Twisted group C^* -algebras for two-step nilpotent and generalized discrete Heisenberg group’, *J. Operator Th.*, 34, no. 1, (1995), 91-124.
- 10 V. NISTOR, ‘Stable range for the tensor products of extensions of K by $C(X)$ ’, *J. Operator Th.*, 16, (1986), 387-396.
- 11 J. PACKER AND I. RAEBURN, ‘On the structure of twisted group C^* -algebras’, *Trans. AMS*, 334, no. 2, (1992), 685-718.
- 12 M. A. RIEFFEL, ‘Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3), 46, (1983), 301-333.
- 13 T. SUDO, ‘Dimension theory of group C^* -algebras of connected Lie groups of type I’, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 52, no. 3, (2000), 583-590.
- 14 T. SUDO, ‘Structure of group C^* -algebras of Lie semi-direct products $\mathbb{C} \times |\mathbb{R}|$ ’, *J. Operator Theory*, 46, (2001), 25-38.
- 15 T. SUDO AND H. TAKAI, ‘Stable rank of the C^* -algebras of nilpotent Lie groups’, *Internat. J. Math.*, 6, no. 3, (1995), 439-446.
- 16 T. SUDO AND H. TAKAI, ‘Stable rank of the C^* -algebras of solvable Lie groups of type I’, *J. Operator Theory*, 38, no. 1, (1997), 67-86.
- 17 J. TOMIYAMA, ‘Topological representation of C^* -algebras’, *Tohoku Math. J.*, (2), 14, (1962), 187-204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 100 ST. GEORGE ST., ROOM 4072, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M5S
3G3, CANADA
E-mail address: `png@math.toronto.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF THE RYUKYUS, NISHIHARA-CHO, OKINAWA,
903-0213, JAPAN
E-mail address: `sudo@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp`